

REMARKS

Support for the claims 14 through 30 is found in the specification at the locations indicated in the following chart.

CLAIM	SUPPORT
Claim 14	page 2, lines 3-15 and page 3 lines 17-19
Claim 15	page 2, line 19
Claim 16	page 3 lines 1-3
Claim 17	page 2, lines 19-20
Claim 18	page 3, lines 8-9
Claim 19	page 3, lines 9-13
Claim 20	page 3, lines 11-13
Claim 21	page 3, lines 14-15
Claim 22	page 3, line 16
Claim 23	page 4, lines 3-4
Claim 24	page 4, lines 4-5
Claim 25	page 4, lines 12-16
Claim 26	page 4, lines 8-9
Claim 27	Page 4, lines 9-11 and page 1 lines 5-10
Claim 28	Page 4, lines 9-11
Claim 29	page 4, lines 9-11 and page 1, lines 5-10
Claim 30	Page 4, lines 15-16

Previously submitted claims 3 and 13 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. It is respectfully submitted that any claims that are similar to claims 3 and 13 are definite and comply with 35 U.S.C. 112.

Previously submitted claims 1-5 and 7-13 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by U.S. Patent Publication No. 2001/0047250, hereinafter referred to as "Schuller."

However, in regards to the new claims there is no teaching in the Schuller reference of selecting colour or colours from a database, wherein the colours are grouped by colour indicators; for instance, names or in groups where the colours are either complementary or contrasting one with another.

It was mentioned in the Office Action that the Schuller reference taught selecting colours at paragraphs 9, 28, 39, Figure 6A, and item 618. It is respectfully submitted that none of these paragraphs specifically teach selecting the colour or colours from colour stored in an electronic


format on a storage means, or the colours are grouped by colour indicators or in groups where the colours are either complementary or contrasting one with another.

Since claims 15–30 are direct or indirect dependent claims of claim 14, it is respectfully submitted that since claim 14 is novel, unobvious, and patentable over the Schuller reference that the dependent claims 15–30 are novel, unobvious, and patentable, even in light of the Schuller reference.

It is respectfully submitted that the newly presented claims are in condition for allowance and reconsideration and allowance of them is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: October 22, 2004


Kenneth J. Stachel (Reg. No. 27,222)
Attorney for Applicant
The Glidden Company
925 Euclid Avenue, Suite 900
Cleveland, Ohio 44115
Telephone: (216) 344-8397
Facsimile: (216) 344-8935